

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



August 2010 No 518

ALR Annual Frank Bawden Memorial State Dinner, Adelaide, 7 August 2010

Christopher Steele:

The 'Loyal Toast' To Our Majesty, 'The Queen'

Many of us here tonight were born in the British Empire, on that which 'the sun never set'. I grew up realising that cliché had two meanings – one 'geographical', the other a 'time-span'. Each has been lost, and quickly, during my life.

World War Two intervened when I was a baby. Neville Chamberlain, the British prime minister declared war on Germany from 2 September 1939. Soon to be his successor, Winston Churchill relished the destruction of what was regarded as a commercial enemy by those who supported his elevation. The 'starters gun' was to save Poland. Australia committed itself mere hours later.

Within two years, Churchill and the American president Franklin D Roosevelt met and signed on an American cruiser the Atlantic Charter off the Newfoundland coast in August 1941, a further stepping stone in the defeat of Germany.

In December 1943 the Teheran Conference was held between Churchill, Roosevelt and Joseph Stalin, another such move. By now the Germans and their allies were retreating from the European eastern front. Stalin reputedly got almost everything he wanted for communism from the other two participants, and more still from the 'Big Three' Yalta conference of February 1945.

In the meantime, the United Nations Organisation was the name adopted on 1 January 1942 by 26 nations including Australia.

U.N.O. was to be the replacement of the moribund League of Nations established after the Great War of 1914-1918. The League was seen to have failed, principally because the Monroe Doctrine (named after the United States president, James Monroe of 1823) was a policy of opposition, or isolationism, to outside interference by Europe in America. This came finally to an end with the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbour in December 1941. Nonetheless Roosevelt was still personally against the concept of U.N.O. towards the end of World War Two. Once again, as an ailing man, he was pressured by the Marxist mindset in his own country to have the headquarters of the embryonic United Nations situated in America at New York so as to deter national objections again to membership of an international organisation. The Lake Success accomplishment. The operating United Nations Organisation was officially founded on 24 October 1945.

Shortly beforehand, American Army General George Patton wanted the Allied troops to get as far as possible into Germany to stave off the U.S.S.R. advance. He was injured in a vehicle crash during March 1945 and died somewhat mysteriously in a German hospital not long afterwards. With our hindsight of the six year war it is not too much to assume that the victors were the Communists.

In the late 1940s the United Nations was busy sorting out all kinds of protocols, charters, agreements, treaties, and pacts, etc.

Strangely, the U.S.S.R. as a body politic was given three seats in the General Assembly of the United Nations to every other country's one! Defined as Russia, Ukraine and Byelorussia (White Russia), now known as Belarus.

The former, being a Marxist-Socialist country was dogmatically obsessed with the concept of 'racism' meaning as it is interpreted today, a hatred of other races. In effect, the dogma railed against the perfectly natural instinct of the world's peoples desires to be generally anthropologically different from each other..

Unforeseen over the last half a century, the long-term intention – since the late 1800s masterly crafted by 'soft Communists' like the British-based Fabians, played along in a nearly all-English speaking countries to break down national borders and cultures, together with the miscegenation and mongrelising their populations.

This process is now proceedings at full force, supported by the United Nations, particularly in all white peoples' countries.

It is a vital ingredient of the New World Order to bring about universal sameness! More often than not against the wishes of all people everywhere. Multiculturalism is the sugary weapon devised to achieve this.



Christopher Steele

Race-mixing of populations in their traditional abodes, and the meddling by dogmatist within their own cultures and religions, is a destructive experiment of these Marxists. Multiculturalism is a growing factor of community dysfunction and especially now that it is being stoked up to the highest level ever – through mass unassimilatable immigration.

What comes close behind? Community dysfunction! Soon Draconian law is imposed to 'keep the peace' as distinct from the natural law of abiding by the religiously communicated workable, moral practices proven over time by the majority of people's history. Who, and what for drives the New World Order? It is being said international finance, predatory capitalist commercial monopolies, (amalgamations and mergers) plus political Marxist dogmatists. In short, the aim is greed and power. There is no altruism whatsoever here nor 'peace amongst men'!

The founder of the Australian League of Rights in the 1940s, Eric Butler, was well aware of all these nihilist promoted factors against once socially stable, virtually self-sufficiently governed countries.

Now we have national economies prostituted to the whims and demands of the banksters and their chums. They have been exposed by the recent global finance crisis.

In the early 1970s, long after the Treaty of Rome or the Common Market, as it was called then, was established in Europe during 1957, Eric Butler and his colleague, Jim Killen from Queensland, visited the United Kingdom in an urgent mission. The U.K. prime minister of the day, Ted Heath, a conservative, was showing strong interest in bringing our mother country Britain, into this organisation. Hitherto, the then British Commonwealth of Nations had its own common market, sometimes referred to as The Imperial Preference.

Butler and Killen were not successful in their mission to retain this traditional trade agreement. Originally there were six countries in the European Common Market, and soon twelve, including the U.K. However, there is still a great deal of controversy in that country about their/its membership.

As we know, the European Common Market became the European Community, and more recently the European Union. Our once natural and fraternal links with Britain have been whittled away, year by year in a deliberate design of emasculating mutual solidarity. When I joined the Engineering and Water Supply Department of South Australia in the mid 1950s upon leaving school, most of the plant and especially to me the drafting and surveying instruments used, were made in Britain. Similarly, in the 1970s when I was employed in the bus industry, 90 per cent of the fleets in the whole of Australia were of British manufacture. Today there is practically no such trade. I presume the Brits make buses for themselves? That particular export has been destroyed almost entirely. These examples are indicative of the surrendering of familial benefits for both our countries in the way of so many other things.

Harkening back to World War Two it is said that Churchill once stated that as his Majesty's First Minister he did not intend to preside over the demise of the British Empire. That is precisely what did happen when he allowed himself to be goaded by Marxist functionaries into the destructive hostilities of the time.

It has given rise in the last couple of decades to the republican putsch amongst many Australian notables who hanker for our country to be independent. Whatever that means now! It must be realised these same people fawn over adopting so many of the deleterious demands of the United Nations.

An interesting irony of contemporary world politics as it effects the United Kingdom and Australia is how so many hundreds of refugees from other countries near and far, long to be offered asylum, invariably from unstable republics. Perhaps they are more clearly conscious than some who were born and bred in Australia, the advantageous stability of a constitutional monarchical system which is constantly intended to be overlooked.

Did you know whilst our State Premier, Mike Rann, who was born in England, is of a Republican bent whereas his recommendation for the appointment of Mr Hieu Le as Lieutenant-Governor of South Australia was an early refugee from Vietnam? And a monarchist! The latter has his values rightly apportioned!

For that, let's drink this 'Loyal Toast' to Our Majesty, 'The Queen'!

DID YOU KNOW

When the English settlers landed in Australia, they noticed a strange animal that jumped extremely high and far. They asked the aboriginal people using body language and signs trying to ask them about this animal. They responded with "Kan Ghu Ru" the english then adopted the word kangaroo. What the aboriginal people were really trying to say was "we don't understand you", " Kan Ghu Ru".



**BOOK LAUNCH
Public Schools Club, Adelaide**



On 7 August 2010, the Director of Adelaide Institute, Mr Peter Hartung, launches at the Public Schools Club, Adelaide Dr Fredrick Töben's latest book:

ARBEIT MACHT FREI – Impertinent Incarceration

Copies of the book are available from:

**Peace Books
PO Box 3300,
Norwood SA 5067,
Australia.**

Ahmadinejad: 9/11 death toll was exaggerated

Iranian President says no Zionists were killed in the World Trade Center because 'one day earlier they were told not go to their workplace.' By Reuters, 07.08.10

Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad said on Saturday the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks were exaggerated in a fresh broadside at the United States just days after U.S. President Barack Obama voiced willingness to talk to Iran.



Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad in Tehran on August 5, 2010. Photo by: AP

Well-known for his anti-American and anti-Israeli rhetoric, the hardline populist Ahmadinejad also repeated his denial of the Holocaust, on which the consensus of historians is that six million Jews were exterminated by Nazi Germany. Ahmadinejad said the Sept. 11 attacks with hijacked airliners on New York and Washington D.C. had been trumped up as an excuse for the United States to invade Afghanistan and Iraq.

Speaking at a Tehran conference, Ahmadinejad said there was no evidence that the death toll at New York's World Trade Center, destroyed in the attacks, was as high as reported and said "Zionists" had been tipped off in advance. "What was the story of Sept. 11? During five to six days, and with the aid of the media, they created and prepared public opinion so that everyone considered an attack on Afghanistan and Iraq as (their) right," he said in a televised speech.

No "Zionists" were killed in the World Trade Center, according to Ahmadinejad, because "one day earlier they were told not go to their workplace".

"They announced that 3,000 people were killed in this incident, but there were no reports that reveal their names. Maybe you saw that, but I did not," he told a gathering of the Iranian news media.

There is a published list of Sept. 11 dead from more than 90 countries available online.

A total of 2,995 people were killed in the attacks, including 19 hijackers and all passengers and crew aboard four commandeered airliners, according to official U.S. figures. The United States blamed the assaults on al Qaida, led by Saudi-born Sunni Muslim fundamentalist Osama Bin Laden.

Ahmadinejad accused the U.S. government of exercising more media censorship than anywhere in the world.

He had previously said the "9-11" attacks were a "big fabrication" and has rejected the historical record of the Holocaust. On Saturday, Ahmadinejad repeated his belief that the Holocaust had been invented to justify the creation of Israel.

"They made up an event, the so-called Holocaust which was later laid as the basis for the innocence of a group," he said. Ahmadinejad last week challenged Obama to a televised debate on global issues during his trip to the United Nations General Assembly in New York in September.

Two years ago he asked to visit the site of the World Trade Center "to pay his respects" but New York police refused.

Washington succeeded in June in getting a fourth round of UN Security Council sanctions imposed on Iran to pressure it to suspend its disputed nuclear program.

Tougher U.S. and European measures have further tightened restrictions on doing business with the major OPEC country.

Obama signaled on Thursday he was open to talks with the Islamic Republic and was seeking "a clear set of steps that we would consider sufficient to show that they are not pursuing nuclear weapons".

Ahmadinejad has said he is prepared to return to international talks, which were last held in October, but insists that Iran has the sovereign right to enrich uranium.

Western powers fear the Islamic Republic aims to stockpile the material for possible use, when more highly enriched, in nuclear weapons, and UN nuclear inspectors cite indications that Iran is researching how to build a nuclear-tipped missile. Tehran says it is refining uranium only for electricity and medical treatments.

Israel considers the combination of Ahmadinejad's Holocaust denial and his pursuit of nuclear technology a potential threat to its existence and has said it does not rule out military action to prevent Iran developing atomic bombs.

A Washington-based think-tank with access to intelligence said on Friday Iran had begun using recently installed equipment to enrich uranium more efficiently, a step it said could be justified nominally on civilian grounds but in fact made more sense in the context of learning how to make bomb-grade uranium.

<http://www.haaretz.com/news/international/ahmadinejad-9-11-death-toll-was-exaggerated-1.306578>

Iran launches cartoon website aimed at questioning the Holocaust


Yad Vashem slams website claiming to show that "the killing of 6 million Jews in the Second World War known as the Holocaust was a sheer lie". By DPA, 05.08.10

Iran has launched a website with cartoons on the Holocaust aimed at undermining the historic dimensions of the mass murder of Jews during World War II, Fars news agency reported Thursday.

<http://holocartoons.com/main/index.php>

The site is financed by a non-governmental cultural foundation and mainly based on a cartoon book on the Holocaust published in 2008, which contained satirical cartoons and texts aimed at questioning the Holocaust.

The website, which opens to the Pink Panther theme song by Henry Mancini, reportedly wants to continue challenging the issue and show that "the killing of 6 million Jews in the Second World War known as the Holocaust was a sheer lie."



A cartoon from an Iranian website aimed at questioning the Holocaust.

Photo by: <http://holocartoons.com/>

*

A new Iranian website uses cartoons to question the Holocaust.



Photo by: <http://holocartoons.com>

"The site is dedicated to all those who have been killed under the pretext of the Holocaust," Fars reported, referring to Palestinians who, according to Iran, were killed under the Holocaust pretext.

Reports of the site drew anger from Israel's national Holocaust museum, Yad Vashem.

"The launch of a website dedicated to denying the Holocaust through caricatures and text, is yet the latest salvo emanating from Iran that denies the facts of the Holocaust and attempts to influence those who are ignorant of history," Yad Vashem said in a statement.

"The vulgar and cynical approach of the website, a combination of Holocaust denial and distortion, illustrated with antisemitic caricatures, further illustrates Iran's disregard for reality and truth vis-à-vis the Holocaust, Jews and Israel."

Since the 1979 Islamic revolution, Iran has not acknowledged Israel as a sovereign state and even refrained from using the name Israel, instead referring to the Jewish state as the "Zionist regime."

The tensions reached a peak in 2005 when Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad started his anti-Israel tirades, calling the Holocaust a "fairy tale."

Iran hosted a Holocaust conference in Tehran in December 2006 which was attended by prominent anti-Semitic and neo-Nazi figures.

Ahmadinejad and other Iranian officials argue that while publishing insulting cartoons of the Muslim prophet Muhammad and humiliating the sentiments of over one billion Muslims is considered by the West as press freedom, an investigation of a historic event is considered a crime.

Ahmadinejad also has said that even if the Nazi mass murder was true, those responsible for the crime should be punished, but that Israel should not be allowed to use it a pretext for committing another Holocaust against Palestinians.

<http://www.haaretz.com/news/international/iran-launches-cartoon-website-aimed-at-questioning-theholocaust1.306180>

Teheran 27 January 2009



Borna News Newtwork

Photo: Moein Fouzi

Dr Fredrick Töben assists in launching the book of Cartoons on which the new Iranian website is based.




Photo :Meghdad madadi

FARS NEWS AGENCY



Photo :Meghdad madadi

FARS NEWS AGENCY

Fidel Castro Goes Live With Warning of Nuclear Holocaust

Ex Cuban President Says Worlds Must Intervene Between U.S., Iran

By MARC FRANK, **NEWS** HAVANA, Cu Fidel Castro speaks during a special session of parliament in his first official government appearance in front of lawmakers in four years in Havana, Cuba, Saturday Aug. 7, 2010.

Former Cuban President Fidel Castro warned today of imminent nuclear war and said the world's fate was in President Barack Obama's hands, as he addressed the Cuban National Assembly for the first time since taking ill four years ago.

Castro said the most recent U.N. sanctions on Iran will trigger a nuclear holocaust if the United States inspects the country's ships come September, as called for in the June resolution.

Only world pressure on Obama can avert the conflagration that will bring all major economies to a standstill, he said.

"In this critical situation President Barack Obama is the one who will have to give the order for this so-often announced and proclaimed attack," Castro said, calling on world leaders to weigh in with the U.S. president before it's too late.

<http://abcnews.go.com/International/fidel-castro-warns-nuclear-holocaust/story?id=11350666>

Fidel Castro speaks during a special session of parliament in his first official government appearance

in front of lawmakers in four years in Havana, Cuba, Saturday Aug. 7, 2010.



Castro, who turns 84 on Aug. 13, is making near daily appearances in and around Havana after spending four years almost completely out of the public eye following emergency intestinal surgery that forced him to cede power to his younger brother Raul. At left is Ricardo Alarcon, president of parliament. Javier Galeano/AP Photo.

From: Amy Aremia, 28 July 2010 12:17 PM, Subject: A rebuttal to the 87 countries

A Response to: Israel signs anti-Semitism agreement with 87 countries

Where are the voices that should be protesting such widespread attention given to an "agreement" that shuts out further investigations for authentic records of important events in history. Such an action should be of great interest and importance to a continuous narrative, interweaving with it the latest accessible knowledge bearing on the facts that have been woven as the only historical recorded as written.

Where are the historians and intelligent Christians who should persist in adhering to the truths? The signing of such an agreement is a sad commentary for the preservation of World History when events from a certain era become "protected" by a law that prevents further exhaustive examination or study of certain topics. Such an act jeopardizes truth in finding historical facts through any further examination, questions, or discussions of certain periods in history on record- -a history, which is supposed

to be the teacher for future generation regardless of who it may offend. It is both possible and probable that there is scarcity of truth when divested of errors or even outright lies, from any further examination, questions, or discussions of that period.

Like the Holocaust, the Katyn Massacre was once legally protected. For hundreds and hundreds of years Historians and Revisionists have constantly re-examined eras of history to ensure more accurate information, which is not politically or emotionally biased. Facts and information are constantly revised, as evidence is uncovered. This widespread attention given to revive or restore authentic records of important events through new knowledge cannot be shut out under the stimulus of political correctness over the exhaustive studies by the most learned scholars and remarkable men who dare to write a more authentic record with the latest accessible knowledge of events and eras for

posterity. And it is especially not up to the courts and lawyers to decide the recording of history, as their forte is law.

Today, the world must overcome the growing loss of freedoms, the lack of respect for individual freedom and the loss of active involvement in the political activity taking over the sovereignty of nations. In return, the people are responsible for respecting the rights of others. Enlightenment, plus new and opposing facts and ideas are major contributions to this understanding of human freedom. Otherwise the gradual extinction of the principles of human dignity dies out, with the quantitative loss of

freedom, which becomes replaced with uniformity and the silent heralds of a descending slavery.

There have been many Jewish heroes and prophets from a religious point of view. Abraham appeared after the lapse of nearly four thousands years. He may not have had the genius and learning of Moses, but as a religious thinker, inspired to restore faith in the world and the worship of the One God, the spiritual Father equally of the Jews, Christians, and Mohammedans. In a sense, he was and is the spiritual progenitor of all nations and peoples who now acknowledge a personal God.

From Professor Arthur Butz

IMPORTANT CLARIFICATION:

From Adelaide Institute's Newsletter, No 434, March 2009

I suspect that Mark Weber's new article "How Relevant is Holocaust Revisionism?" was Weber's way of responding to the pressures being brought by Faurisson. http://www.ihr.org/weber_revisionism_jan09.html

To those of us who have been concerned with this problem since this past summer, the new article reveals perhaps only one new thing that I shall explain below. To others, it reveals that Mark Weber is not a revisionist. Only because the title of the new article is provocative is it now widely recognized by the revisionist community that Mark Weber is not one of us.

The fact that Weber is not a revisionist is important, and its treatment here required only a few words. Mark Weber's thoughts on the question that the title of his new article raises are less important but require more words. I shall comment on those thoughts anyway.

Weber's title commits a common sin, namely, challenging or asserting the relevance of something without specifying what the relevance is supposed to apply to. It is obvious nonsense to ask "When will the train reach?" It has to be something like "When will the train reach Detroit?" Therefore I shall try to determine what Mark Weber thinks revisionism is irrelevant to, and frankly the answer is unimportant. If revisionism's central claims are wrong then it ought to be abandoned. Why wonder about its relevance to anything? For example, I concede that revisionism is irrelevant to baking pies, but that doesn't make me a non-revisionist. What, then, does Mark Weber think revisionism is irrelevant to?

About half-way through his paper he seems to answer the question begged by his title, by making a curious assumption. He writes "But despite a discouraging record of achievement, some revisionists insist that their work is vitally important because success in exposing the Holocaust as a hoax will deliver a shattering blow to Israel and Jewish-Zionist power."

His relevance, then, would appear to be in terms of fighting Israel. I doubt that I know even one revisionist whose revisionism is so motivated. On the other hand, we tend to note that implication as an observation. I suppose all of us agree that the success of revisionism would be bad for Israel, and we understand that much of the persecution we suffer is based on that fact. We do not wish Israel well.

I wrote many years ago, in the Foreword to my book *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, <http://www.vho.org/GB/Books/thottc/> that my historical investigations were motivated by my "Noting the obvious ways in which this legend is exploited in contemporary politics, notably in connection with the completely illogical support that the U.S. extends to Israel". That political judgment of mine didn't make me a revisionist; the investigations that were thereby motivated made me a revisionist. I found rubbish.

Serious revisionists promote revisionism because it is historically correct, not because it's bad for Israel. I would be a revisionist even if it were good for Israel. I suppose one could find people who think we help Israel in some devious or backhanded way.

Mark Weber's presumption, that we should be motivated to harm Israel, says more about his motivations than ours, and something about his concept of IHR's mission.

However that is not the worst of it. After seeming to have explained, mid-way through the article, what revisionism is allegedly not relevant to, Weber upsets the whole cart. He notes that in recent years "the Holocaust assumed an important role in the social-cultural life of America and western Europe," but he also claims that in today's political context the "Holocaust imagery [is] less relevant."

It is difficult for me to deal with these less important aspects of Mark Weber's recent article because of this confusion regarding what revisionism is supposedly irrelevant to and the nature of the current political-cultural scene. The last is, we are asked to believe, characterized by both Holocaust obsession and an irrelevance of the Holocaust legend to contemporary problems.

That is confusing, but unimportant. I think the Holocaust obsession is a contemporary problem, and a big one that sheds light on many other problems.

Others may wish to parse Mark Weber's thoughts more carefully, but I have no patience for that. It is a waste of time. As I noted earlier, I would be a revisionist even if it were helpful to Israel. Mark Weber is not a revisionist, evidently because he no longer sees revisionism as an effective weapon against Israel. That suggests that in the past his adherence to revisionism was to gain a propaganda tool against Israel. That evaluation of him is new, at least for me.

*

August 2010 – Fredrick Töben comments: I share Professor Butz's view that Revisionism is an heuristic

method used by any thinking person to acquire and evaluate new information in order to develop a deeper

understanding of whatever is under consideration, and which transcends any vested interest categories.

Lessons from the Holocaust: By Natalie Dicou, *The Salt Lake Tribune*, August 6, 2010

Pioneer Elementary School teacher James Phillips is fascinated by history. He participates in Civil War re-enactments, collects soldiers' war uniforms, does genealogy, and teaches his sixth-graders about everything from ancient Egypt to World War II.

The history buff and West Valley City teacher was thrilled to win a scholarship to attend the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum's annual Arthur and Rochelle Belfer National Conference in Washington, D.C., this summer. There, 183 teachers from across the United States spent three days immersing themselves in the Holocaust. They listened to survivors and other eyewitnesses tell their stories, pored over historical documents and viewed Nazi propaganda. "I've always had this keen desire to find out why I was the way I was," said Phillips, who is also a Taylorsville High football coach, former cop and a veteran. "The human condition has always intrigued me; why certain people do certain things, why certain societies do certain things, why history repeats itself."

He decided to try to wrap his mind around one of the most perplexing events in world history. In addition to studying

the perspectives of the victims and soldiers, Phillips and his fellow teachers got an inside look at the mindset of the German people, a viewpoint he hadn't heard before. "They never really tell you [in school] all the underlying reasons nor how the German people could be snowballed into thinking this was something they should do," Phillips said. "It was one of the things that touched me the deepest. I had never expected to have any sort of compassion for what I considered to be the evil Nazi horde."

Phillips learned about conditions in Germany during the time leading up to the Holocaust. "They were in such economic ruin after the Treaty of Versailles, they needed to believe, 'we're the best,' and 'we're No. 1,' and that they could turn their world around. They needed to believe it was someone else's fault," Phillips said. "It took Adolf Hitler being at the right place at the right time with his charismatic personality to convince the public that it was the fault of the Jews and other people who were different from them."

<http://www.sltrib.com/sltrib/neighborhood/valleywest/50061096-129/phillips-holocaust-conference-students.html.csp>

THE BATTLE OF BRITAIN: Michael Walsh euroman_uk@yahoo.co.uk, 12 July 2010

Likewise the myths about 'The Battle of Britain' have been repeated so often they are now accepted as gospel. It was Phillip Knightley, special correspondent (Sunday Times) and renowned author who in his book, *The First Casualty - The War Correspondent as Hero, Propagandist, and Myth Maker from the Crimea to Vietnam*, revealed that Britain in 'its finest hour' was far from being the underdog of legend. British air defence consisted of 1,416 aircraft against 963 German aircraft. Britain had the further advantage of retrieving downed pilots and salvaging downed airplanes whereas German aircraft and pilots were irretrievably lost. ".... yet throughout the battle the RAF regularly lost more fighters than did the Luftwaffe. It was the German bombers that swelled the score and to break even the British had to

shoot down a great many of them." This the author goes on to point out owed more to the radar advantage."

Fighter pilots often found Churchill's rhetoric embarrassing, not the least such descriptions as 'crusaders' who 'grin when they fight'. It was in many respects an ignoble and bloody confrontation in which German pilots, knowing that the parachuting enemy could be airborne again within hours had no compunction about firing on them. Similarly, the RAF had no qualms about shooting down a Heinkel 59 clearly marked with the Red Cross and civilian markings as it engaged itself in rescuing downed German pilots.

In fact, the only RAF pilot to be awarded a Victoria Cross, Flight-Lieutenant J.B Nicholson, was wounded by the British Home Guard who mistaking him for a German pilot, blazed away at him as he parachuted to earth.

Ahmadinejad questions 'fairy tale' Holocaust, denies being anti-Semite

Iranian president says 'West allows everybody to question prophets and even God but not to open the black box of an historic event.' 9 July 2010.

Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad questioned the historic dimensions of the Holocaust but rejected the label of an anti-Semite, the Fars news agency reported Friday. "The West made a claim - about the Holocaust - and urges all the people in the world to accept it or otherwise go to prison," Ahmadinejad told a group of Islamic scholars Thursday in Nigeria, where he attended a summit of the Developing Eight, a group of countries with large Muslim populations. "The West allows everybody to question prophets and even God but not to pose a simple question and open the black box of a historic event," he charged.

Ahmadinejad had earlier sparked international fury by calling for the eradication of Israel from the Middle East and its relocation to Europe or North America and by describing the murders of 6 million European Jews by Germany's Nazi regime as a "fairy tale." He said Thursday that the Holocaust was an excuse for Israel and the West to take land away from millions of Palestinians and give it to Israel. Iran does not recognize Israel and maintains that a referendum by all Palestinians, including refugees, and Jews should decide the future fate of a Palestinian state.

"We are after a diplomatic settlement through a referendum, but they [the West] say Ahmadinejad wants to kill people and is an anti-Semite," the Iranian president said. "No, this is wrong," he added. "I love all Muslims, Christians and Jews. What I dislike are the Zionists, which are a party that has availed itself of the Holocaust as an excuse to establish the illegitimate state of Israel."

The West fears the political differences between Iran and Israel might lead to a military confrontation between the two countries. The international concern has increased amid fears that Iran might be using its nuclear program to make an atomic bomb. Iran possesses 2,000-kilometer range missiles capable of targeting any part of Israel.

Tehran has said it has no secret nuclear projects and all its military capabilities were merely for the purpose of self-defense and deterrence. But Tehran also warned that if Israel attacks the country's nuclear sites, Iran would use its missiles to bomb Israel in retaliation.

<http://www.haaretz.com/news/international/ahmadinejad-questions-fairy-tale-holocaust-denies-being-anti-semite-1.301043>

From the Adelaide Institute Archives

From: Commonwealth Government of Australia, Canberra,
Proceedings Current House Hansard, 28 May 1998, page: 4013,
ADJOURNMENT Censorship

Mr CAMPBELL (Kalgoorlie) (1.20 p.m.) –

Beware the cyber censors. I notice in today's *Financial Review*, in an article by Lenore Taylor, that the B'nai B'rith anti-defamation commission is again in full political censorship mode in relation to both the federal coalition and Queensland preference allocation procedures.

On an only slightly more subtle but far more insidious censorship note, I would urge all members of this House and Internet users everywhere to take serious note of the B'nai B'rith's anti-defamation commission's submission to the Internet Industry Association code of practice.

The **Adelaide Institute** web site is but one of those the ADC's submissions hit list. The following is quoted from Adelaide Institute's critique of the ADC submission.

The **Adelaide Institute** is concerned about attempts being made by the B'nai B'rith Anti-Defamation Commission to enforce censorship, not only of the Internet, but also even of email messages deemed "offensive" by extending the terms of the IIA Draft Code of Ethics to "counter racism on the Internet".

The terms of the submission made by the ADC constitute a threat to freedom of expression on the Internet. The search for truth can involve presenting viewpoints that are "controversial" and may be rejected by some, but the notion of freedom itself includes items that some people do not want to hear.

The recommendation that the Internet Service Provider be liable to exercise any kind of material deemed to be "offensive" involves making the Internet Service Provider a kind of unpaid agent for the State, ensuring that material is suppressed even before it is presented.

The ADC "Racism on the Internet" submission also recommends that if the recipient of the email, who deems it "offensive", and who wants to refer it to the HREOC, the ISP must provide relevant name, address and contact details to facilitate the complaint.

Part of the difficulty here is the way that the HREOC is itself a "Star Chamber", undemocratically denying realistic chances of equal treatment under the law and a proper right of defence, with an impartial jury. It acts as an agent for political correctness.

As for the recommendation that ISP must supply all personal details of email senders for possible prosecution, this would involve a fundamental breach of trust if the supplier of information wished, for whatever reason, to keep the material confidential.

It is, for example, commonplace for whistleblowers who want to expose corruption to present material to the Internet or elsewhere but to keep their details private for fear of reprisal from the body exhibiting corruption, and there is no whistleblower protection law in Victoria.

Journalists who want to reveal "politically sensitive" information often have to protect confidentiality of sources. The ISP is invited to be the "pimp" by the ADC recommendation and cannot respect any individual's right of privacy or protection. The content should be judged on its factual content, on its truth, not on tracking down who said it simply because someone doesn't like it.

One problem about the term "racism" is that it is, itself, a vague and catchall phrase which can be distorted or stretched to be given elastic meaning. If, for example, one should expose high crime rates amongst the Chinese, then no matter how much overwhelming evidence may be presented to prove this, it can be dismissed as "racist hate" and all factual evidence discarded.

The ADC submission recommends "all Internet Service Providers to send an email message to all subscribers informing them that the publication and possession of material, such as child pornography, bomb making and racism is prohibited."

Once again, this continues to make the ISP an unpaid agent of state censorship. It is real "cute" in the way it implies that "racism" is on a par with child pornography and bomb making and, owing to the leastic nature of the term "racism" as a "catchall" phrase, can be stretched to fit into the politically correct model.

The wording of this proviso linking "child pornography, bombing and racism" is deceptive. It is as if anyone were to say "murder, rape, arson and racism", implying that murder, rape and arson, which are clearly evil, are just as evil as "racism", which is open to argument in view of the abuse of the term itself which is often used as a smear.

The ADC submission argues that "the Internet Industry Association should publish all complaints lodged with it as well as subsequent action undertaken if the code has been infringed."

The tone of this submission appears to make the IIA judge, jury and executioner. There is no mention of appeal, grounds for an impartial trial, or examination of the grounds for prosecution. In the event of any complaint being levelled against the ADC, would it agree to having any complaint posted on its own Website?

The ADC Report suggests that "for all infringements of the Code, a system should be in place so that all ISP are informed of users denied access. This will help to ensure that someone who breaches the Code will not simply commit the same offence with another ISP."

This, of course, makes the ISP a "nark", an unpaid police agent whose job is to suppress information and keep it suppressed forever. If information supplied is based on factual material but is nevertheless unacceptable to certain vested interests, then it must evidently be suppressed forever. Would the ADC agree to such a proviso against itself?

(Time expired)

[AI comment: June 2010 Newsletter No 507 reveals ADL method of initiating covert legal persecution of individuals who refuse to believe in the 'Holocaust'.]

Monday February 22 1999

Töben to challenge genocide stance

CONTROVERSIAL Goroke identity Dr Fredrick Töben flies to Europe today to challenge the German ban on denying the Nazi genocide of Jews.

Dr Töben said SBS television had expressed an interest in his crusade especially because other so-called revisionists, that is historians who deny that there was a concerted Nazi campaign to eliminate Jews, had ended up in German jails because of their beliefs.

"I have no intention of breaking German law but I do want to talk to judges, prosecutors and others about the ban. I want to challenge the authorities there on the freedom of speech issue," Dr Töben said.

"the German authorities have to realise that discussing such things as the gas chambers is a legitimate intellectual exercise and that people should be able to discuss it without being called anti-semitic, anti-Jewish or a hater of Israel.

"People have to be able to enquire openly into the whole question.

"There are about 6000 people being held in German prisons because they have been convicted of holocaust denial. Many of them are members of various right wing extremist groups but not all of them. Some are academics who have been jailed for translating revisionist material into German."

Dr Töben said the law had been tightened up in Germany over several years. First it had been defaming the memory of the dead but now anyone questioning, either verbally or in writing, the holocaust could be jailed after the authorities took what was called 'judicial notice'.

"The whole problem is that no peace treaty was signed with Germany – technically speaking there is just a ceasefire," he said.

Dr Töben expects to be in Europe for six to eight weeks and will visit Ukraine and Poland as well as Germany. He will meet up with revisionists from around the world for a conference and study tour while away.

He has an appointment to see one German judge on April 9 and hopes to meet others. He

has with him a masters thesis completed by a New Zealand academic and accepted by a university in that country which Dr Töben said proved strong evidence for a revised view of what happened to Jews in the Second World War.

The former Goroke school teacher is now intimately involved with the think-tank the Adelaide Institute, which is a forum for revisionists.

German-born, Dr Töben is a doctor of philosophy and taught at Goroke for two years until February 1985 when the Education Department dismissed him claiming incompetence and disobedience.

He then drove a school bus for four years. Melbourne County Court subsequently found his dismissal was invalid and of no legal effect.

He was not reinstated but did find work in 1994 as a relief teacher in Adelaide. He recounted his experiences in Goroke as a teacher in a book* published last year.

***The Boston-Curry Party**



Professor Dr Elisabeth Walther-Bense – the second of five professors involved in granting on 9 February 1977 Fredrick Töben the doctor of philosophy degree – advised him in April 1997 that it is legitimate to ask questions about the six million Jews allegedly exterminated by Germans during World War Two.

Putting Race Hatred Laws to the Test

An Australian who says the Nazi Holocaust was a Jewish "swindle" faces trial next week in Germany

By LEORA MOLDOFSKY

WAITING IN SINGAPORE FOR HIS connecting flight from Adelaide to Frankfurt in February this year, Fredrick Toben couldn't resist sharing his "good news" with a German couple. The Third Reich and its accomplices had not systematically exterminated six million Jews, he said: the gas chambers at Auschwitz and other Nazi concentration camps were a hoax. The Australian Holocaust revisionist thought the Germans would welcome this information; instead, noted Toben in the diary of his European tour, the husband became agitated and fled.


That "study tour" of the U.K., Poland, Ukraine and Germany ended abruptly when Toben, the founder and director of the Adelaide Institute, Australia's most notorious anti-Jewish, Nazi-genocide-denying organization, met with German public prosecutor Hans Klein in his Mannheim office on April 9. Arrested on charges of inciting hatred against segments of the population and defaming the memory of the dead in print, speech and on the Internet, the German-born former schoolteacher and bus driver will stand trial in the southwest German city from Nov. 8. If convicted, Toben, currently in custody near Frankfurt, could spend up to five more years in prison. Institute colleagues and revisionist historians like England's David Irving claim that Toben is an innocent abroad, a political prisoner and martyr to historical truth. But they would be hard pressed to find much support outside their own circle.

The Nazi attempt to exterminate Jews from Germany and occupied countries—

documented by its perpetrators with meticulous records—is widely recognized as an almost unimaginable crime; the very emblem of evil in the modern age. To the Adelaide Institute's acting director Geoffrey Muirden, however, it is "well-attested historical truth" that the gas chambers

historian. Neither are most of his revisionist cohorts. Says Robert Manne, associate professor of politics at Melbourne's La Trobe University: "Not one of them has any historical training, except David Irving, who has not written anything that comes within a cooee of scholarship on the Holocaust." Manne says that when he was editor of the conservative Australian journal *Quadrant*, he was deluged with newsletters from the Institute: "I doubt whether I have ever seen anything as disgusting."

Germany is determined to take strong action to prevent the rehabilitation of National Socialism: it's a federal crime to deny or play down the Holocaust's existence by using the so-called "Auschwitz lie." In the wake of the law's implementation in 1994, several neo-Nazi leaders were arrested and convicted, among them American Gary



DENIER: Toben says Jewish prisoners in Auschwitz and other concentration camps like Buchenwald, right, were not systematically murdered. "Germans never exterminated European Jewry in homicidal gas chambers," he has said. "Germans can breathe easy now."

"He is propagating outright lies with the ultimate aim of rehabilitating the record of the Nazi regime."

—COLIN RUBINSTEIN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, AUSTRALIA/ISRAEL & JEWISH AFFAIRS COUNCIL

which effected much of the killing "are a propaganda myth perpetrated by Zionists." It's a view that is given ample expression (by Toben and others) on the Institute's website, which characterizes the Holocaust as "an allegation" and accuses the Jews—whose religious teachings it says "condone lies, brutality, pedophilia and the sadistic killings of Christians"—of inventing the Holocaust "to swindle the world."

Toben has admitted that he is not a

Lauck, who served a four-year sentence for sending anti-Semitic literature and videos to Germany. Sympathizers consequently watch their words and keep a low profile, while revisionists like Irving, who was convicted and fined on a previous visit, now stay out of the country.

Toben, however, was eager to publicize his visit. Before leaving Australia he alerted several German judges and even went to the trouble of arranging his meeting with Klein

Back to the PRESENT: - 11 Years Later!
2010 and Dirk Zimmermann's Self-Accusation Trial in Germany

Dirk Zimmermann:
Can Political Change Accompany the Solstice?

Translated from the German by J M Damon

"Time marches on" and the date of the second round in our struggle for truth and justice has now been announced.

On a day no less significant than the Summer Solstice, I shall again appear before a court of the Federal Republic of Germany to defend the honor of our German ancestors as well as our descendants. Is it too much to hope that a political change might accompany this seasonal change?

On 21 June I shall again demand and defend self-determination for myself as well as our country. I say "self-determination" because development of my national consciousness as well as self-image (I am proud to be German!) has been massively distorted by the most blatant historical dictates in history.

These dictates are so repressive that the slightest deviation or questioning of the historical concepts behind them is suppressed with severe criminal sanctions.

(TR: One example of this is the 13-year prison sentence imposed on Attorney Horst Mahler for crimes of opinion – a life sentence for a 73-year-old.) Also, it must be pointed out that what is at stake here is not just returning control over the destiny of the German *VOLK* to German sovereignty. (By this I refer to the questions of "Who were we, who are we now, and what are we becoming?") For three generations our development has been manipulated for the benefit of the few, to the detriment of the many.

It must also be demonstrated in the trial that the individual German is constantly exposed to satanic and insidious attacks on his cognitive ability. The individual has been robbed of knowledge, belief and certainty as well as memory of the past and hope for the future.

These vital components of the human psyche have been replaced by compulsory assent in the form of abject mechanical nodding of the head.

We Germans have been degraded to the status of animals to be milked, shorn and slaughtered. In opposition to this relentless campaign of intimidation by the so-called German Federal Republic, I am appearing before Heilbronn District Court at 9:00 AM on Monday, 21 June. I do not yet know which courtroom.

I ask that all those who are inclined to show support for me present a respectable appearance and exhibit

orderly conduct, so that we will be able to distance ourselves from decadent and anti German elements. (In the past, the mass media have sometimes hired actors in repulsive attire to behave in unmannerly fashion and discredit the patriotic movement.)

With heartfelt fraternal greetings and the request that you help distribute this message, I remain your Dirk Zimmermann

The author is one of several young German patriots who answered the call for nonviolent resistance to the occupation of their fatherland. For background information visit:

http://www.gnosticliberationfront.com/die_wahrheit_kommt_nach_heilbronn.htm

<http://globalfire.tv/nj/10en/persecution/zimmermann.htm>

Der Selbstanzeiger Prozeß - Teil 2: Nachgeladen

Es ist mal wieder soweit. Der Zeitpunkt der zweiten Runde in der Auseinandersetzung um Wahrheit und Gerechtigkeit ist festgelegt. An keinem geringeren Tag als am **21. Juni 2010**, der Tag der Sonnenwende, werde ich nun ein weiteres Mal im Interesse unserer Ahnen und unserer Nachkommen, vor einem BRD-Gericht das Recht auf Selbstbestimmung einklagen. Selbstbestimmung deshalb, weil die Entwicklung und das Bewußtwerden meiner Identität - ich fühle mich als Deutscher - von einem eklatant widersprüchlichen Geschichtsdiktat massiv gestört wird. Soweit gehend sogar, daß jedes Ausscheren und auch nur das Hinterfragen des Geschichtsbildes, das uns so maßgeblich beeinflusst, mit harten Strafen sanktioniert wird. Außerdem sollte auch erwähnt werden, daß es nicht nur darum geht, die Hoheit über die Entwicklungslinie des deutschen Volkes ("wer waren wir, wer sind wir, was sollen wir werden"), das zu Gunsten Weniger konditioniert wird, wieder dem Souverän zu übergeben. Sondern es soll aufgezeigt werden, daß auch der einzelne Mensch einen satanischen und heimtückischen Angriff auf seine kognitiven Fähigkeiten erfährt.

Das Recht auf Glauben, Wissen und Gewißheit, die Erinnerung am Vergangenen und die Planung des Zukünftigen wird ihm entrissen und durch den Zwang des mechanischen Kopfnickens ersetzt. Der Mensch wird zum Vieh degradiert, gemolken, geschert, geschlachtet.

Gegen diese Einschüchterungskampagne trete ich nun am 21. Juni '10 (Montags) ab 9.00 Uhr vor dem Landgericht Heilbronn (Saal noch unbekannt) an.

Die Leute die sich dazu bewegen können, mir am besagten Tag Beistand zu leisten, bitte ich um gesittetes Auftreten und um ein ordentliches Erscheinungsbild, so daß wir uns von dem antideutschen und dekadenten Menschentum eindeutig Abgrenzen können.

Mit der Bitte um Kenntnisnahme und Weiterleitung.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

Dirk Zimmermann